

The Medical Illusio: Between formal rationality and social logic

L'illusio médicale : entre rationalité formelle et logique sociale

Hanan Khanchel-Lakhoua

Docteur, HDR en Sciences de Gestion. Maître-Assistante à l'IHEC Carthage, Université de Carthage, Tunisie

ABSTRACT

Introduction: The article examines the contemporary medical field through the prism of Bourdieu's illusio, testing the interaction between formal rationality and social logic. It offers a unique approach, combining technical and social perspectives often treated separately in medical research.

Objectives and method: The aim is to illustrate how illusio-professionals' investment in the value and meaningfulness of medicine-conditions medical practice as a complex interplay between formal rationality (standardized procedures) and social logic (interactions and care provision). The article discusses the impact of this interplay on the professional identity of physicians, their interaction with patients, and the operation of medical institutions.

Results: The thematic analysis highlighted key tensions and dynamics at the heart of public health service delivery in Tunisia that have important ramifications not only for patient care, but also for physician well-being.

Conclusion: There is an antagonism between the search for standardization of formal rationality (FR) and the adaptation of individualized treatment according to the patients' situation. Although standardized protocols and procedures are in place to ensure fairness and efficiency, these can sometimes feel detached.

Key words: Illusio, Formal Rationality, Social Logic, Medical Profession, Bourdieu

RÉSUMÉ

Introduction: L'article examine le champ médical à travers le prisme de l'illusio de Bourdieu, testant l'interaction entre rationalité formelle et logique sociale. Il propose une approche unique, combinant perspectives techniques et sociales souvent traitées séparément dans la recherche médicale.

Objectifs et méthode: L'objectif est d'illustrer comment l'investissement des illusio-professionnels dans la valeur et le sens de la médecine conditionne la pratique médicale en tant qu'interaction complexe entre la rationalité formelle et la logique sociale.

Résultat: L'analyse thématique a mis en lumière les principales tensions et dynamiques au cœur des prestations de services de santé publique en Tunisie qui ont des ramifications importantes non seulement pour les soins aux patients, mais également pour le bien-être des médecins.

Conclusion: Il existe un antagonisme entre la recherche d'une standardisation de rationalité formelle et l'adaptation d'un traitement individualisé en fonction de la situation des patients. Bien que des protocoles et des procédures standardisés soient mis en place pour garantir l'équité et l'efficacité, ceux-ci peuvent parfois donner un sentiment de détachement.

Mots clés: Illusio, Rationalité formelle, Logique sociale, Profession médicale, Bourdieu

Correspondance

Hanan Khanchel-Lakhoua

IHEC Carthage, Université de Carthage ; Laboratoire LIGUE, Université de Manouba

Email: hanan.khanchel@gmail.com

INTRODUCTION

The medical field is situated at an interesting juncture of scientific rationality and complicated social dynamics. It finds its basis on one hand in empirical evidence and procedural rigor, and on the other, it functions within a wider sociocultural matrix influencing its norms and values. For Bourdieu, "illusio" underlines the investment that individuals implicitly make in the rules and stakes of a particular field (1).

More precisely, Bourdieu's theory places the medical field in a situated space-that is, in the "field"-where practitioners and institutions are struggling for accumulation and exercising different forms of capital, namely economic, cultural, and symbolic in nature (2). Illusio represents tacit belief in the legitimacy of the field, unconscious commitment to its presuppositions, norms, and objectives. This is not a passive belief but an active one, shaping how medical professionals perceive their roles, authority, and the greater ramifications of their work.

Yet, this illusio occludes the asymmetries of power and inequalities within the field. Bourdieu establishes his theory of practice and the crucial concept of habitus (3). The arguable feedback of illusio or one being engrossed in that field and its stakes is a central part of his practice theory laid down in this work (1). One can look at the term 'illusio' as an investment or engagement that can be found within an individual's habitus which explains Bourdieu's gaming analogy where agents take the rules, stakes and interests as part of the field's habitus or their likeness (1). Although the term illusio is mentioned and discussed in almost all of Bourdieu's writings, the Logic of Practice is one of the central books where he has developed this idea in great detail as a part of his general model of how social practices are configured and reproduced (1). Hence, while the pursuit of evidence-based medicine represents a rational commitment to improving patient outcomes, it simultaneously reinforces symbolic capital for those who control knowledge production, such as elite institutions, pharmaceutical companies, and regulatory bodies. It thus puts medicine at a tension spot where professionals have to negotiate between being true to the principle of formal rationality and the influence of social structure within their practices.

Moreover, the concept of habitus by Bourdieu (2) -the deeply instilled dispositions that are inculcated through one's social and professional nurture-is what guides the illusio. The values, hierarchies, and practices of the field are internalized by medical professionals, which then guide their actions even in the absence of explicit rules. For instance, the emphasis on clinical detachment and objectivity in medical training nurtures a habitus that is in tune with the rational ethos of the field (3). Although this might be a habitus, which forms an obstacle to empathy and holistic patient care, the relation between formal rationality and social dynamics is complex.

Hence, this research tries to untangle the tension between these paradigms, affording a critical lens from which one can view how professionals enact their roles in the light of these competing forces. This paper examines

the illusio in light of Bourdieu's theory for an improved explanation of the discreet ways in which social logics shape and are being shaped by ostensibly objective medicine practices. This perspective, while explaining professional identity complexity, also points out a way of transformational change within the profession.

FORMAL RATIONALITY IN MEDICINE

Formal rationality (FR) is based on the belief in standardized procedures, quantifiable outcomes, and evidence-based practices as a means of attaining efficiency and effectiveness. The medical profession is typical of this rationality through emphasis on:

Evidence-Based Medicine

Guidelines derived from robust research aim to eliminate subjectivity, ensuring consistency in clinical decisions (4). EBM focuses on methodologies such as randomized controlled trials and systematic reviews, which introduce hierarchies of evidence. While these hierarchies give a lead to clinical practices, they also reinforce the power of institutions and researchers who produce high-quality evidence. This structured reliance on EBM, however, threatens to marginalize anecdotal or context-specific knowledge, perhaps stifling innovation and adaptability in unique cases.

Integration of Technology

A commitment to precision and innovation is expressed through the acceptance of the latest diagnostic and treatment modalities. Technologies such as AI-driven diagnosis and robotic surgeries enhance the accuracy, reduce errors, and standardize the outcomes. At the same time, the integration of technology creates dependencies and inequalities. Richer institutions and practitioners access advanced tools more, which increases the gap in symbolic and economic capital within the field. Furthermore, the increased reliance on technology dehumanizes the practice even further, boiling patients down into data points and algorithms (5).

Accountability Mechanisms

Audit and quality control systems give strength to transparency and reliability within healthcare provision. These mechanisms also conform to formal rationality through ensuring practitioners continue to perform based on agreed benchmarks. In relation to the latter point, though, accountability is usually associated with increased administrative burden. For example, the fact that physicians must devote a lot of time to documenting processes and outcomes to satisfy the regulators promotes accountability but diverts them from the prime function of directly interacting with their patients, hence frustrating the practitioners.

Yet, such rationality does not come without its sets of challenges. Physicians often face the bureaucratic demands of documentation, performance metrics, and

cost-containment measures that may get in the way of them being able to provide an individualized approach to medicine. For example, studies reveal that excessive administrative tasks lead to physician burnout (6). Burnout, in turn, disrupts the very efficiency to which formal rationality attempts to achieve because it ensures higher turnover rates, medical mistakes, and less patient satisfaction.

Moreover, Bourdieu's concept of the field makes this struggle more comprehensible. Yet, the structures of bureaucracy and quantifications in formal rationality themselves become a product of struggles in the field: power structures in the field have developed these standards for legitimacy that, at best, restrict the autonomy of first-order practitioners. In consequence, tension between formal rationality and the social dynamics of the field reflects deeper struggles over control and legitimacy.

Hence, while formal rationality provides a framework for increasing efficiency and equity, its practice needs critical judgment. A balance between standardization and individual discretion has to be reached so that the pursuit of rationality does not override the humanistic elements of care. The tensions will be better addressed and a medical practice that balances precision with compassion developed by applying Bourdieu's insights. The table 1 presents some key aspects of health practices with regard to description, strengths, and weaknesses: it highlights how various approaches such as EBM, Technological Integration, and Accountability Mechanisms shape the quality and delivery of care. Each of the strong points in the different aspects shows positive contributions to healthcare, like improved accuracy and reduced errors, while the weaknesses highlight the challenges or limitations likely to occur, such as over-reliance on technology or increased administrative burden.

Table 1. Evaluating Healthcare Practices: Strengths and Weaknesses of Key Aspects

Aspect	Description	Strengths	Weaknesses	References
Evidence-Based Medicine (EBM)	Guidelines derived from research to eliminate subjectivity and ensure consistency in clinical decisions.	- Improves quality of care - Reduces medical errors	- Marginalizes anecdotal or context-specific knowledge - Limits innovation and adaptability	(4)
Technological Integration	Adoption of advanced diagnostic tools and treatment modalities for precision and innovation.	- Enhances accuracy - Reduces errors - Standardizes outcomes	- Increases dependence on technology - Creates inequalities in access - Dehumanizes practice	(5)
Accountability Mechanisms	Systems like audits ensure transparency and reliability in healthcare delivery.	- Promotes accountability - Enforces adherence to standards	- Increases administrative burdens - Detracts from direct patient care - Fosters frustration among practitioners	(6)

SOCIAL LOGIC: THE FIELD AND THE ILLUSIO

The "field" is Bourdieu's concept of a structured social space in which agents compete for capital, either economic, cultural, or symbolic. In the field of medicine, the *illusio* refers to professionals' implicit acceptance of the stakes and norms of that field. This section delves deeply into three critical dimensions of the medical *illusio*:

Professional Identity: Doctors internalize values such as altruism, expertise, and resilience, which shape their self-perception and behavior (7). These values are inculcated into the habitus of doctors and other medical professionals through training and practice, rather than simply being abstract ideals. For instance, the expectation of altruism often leads doctors to put their patients' well-being above and beyond their own. This idealized image of the profession can thus come into conflict with resource constraints, administrative pressures, and market-driven healthcare systems. Therefore, the professional identity becomes a site of tension, whereby practitioners have to negotiate between their internalized values and external demands.

Hierarchy and Power Dynamics: Medical practice retains a hierarchical nature, with senior practitioners and specialists possessing more symbolic capital. This is evidenced by the differential status allocated to different medical disciplines, for example, surgery and cardiology are often considered more prestigious in comparison

to primary care or psychiatry. Theoretically, such stratification influences not only career patterns but also the distribution of resources and decision-making powers in these institutions (8). However, these hierarchies are not fixed entities but are constantly renegotiated by struggles over capital. For example, the growing emphasis on interdisciplinary care and patient-centered models challenges the traditional hierarchy and fosters more collaborative approaches to healthcare delivery.

Cultural Expectations: This trust by the patients in medical authority gives reinforcement to the profession's legitimacy through the *illusio* in a rather circular process (9). In these processes of trust, deeply rooted cultural narratives portray the doctors as experts who never fail. Whereas these stories build symbolic capital for the profession, they simultaneously generate powerful pressures on practitioners to satisfy the expectations of their patients. And more and more, digital availability complicates this dynamic (10). Online knowledge-empowered patients tend to challenge the conventional authority, and this has made doctors re-negotiate their role in expertise and collaboration (10). Further, social *logique* (SL) often intersects with or interacts with formal rationality. For example, while technology may enhance diagnostic precision, it can also affect the nature of the relationship between the patient and the doctor, reducing thereby the empathetic dimension of care (10).

The insights provided by Bourdieu may help to light up these junctions, showing how the search for efficiency and innovation is filtered through the social structures and power relations underpinning the field. For instance, the introduction of EHRs represents an articulation of formal rationality and social logic. While EHRs ease data management and accessibility, they also shift the focus of interactions from patients to screens, challenging the relational aspects of care.

Furthermore, the *illusio* perpetuates such dynamics by situating these within unquestioned forms of the field. It becomes a common belief of the practitioner, patient, and institutions within practice, therefore reifying those practices that could benefit individuals less and systemically benefit the operation more efficiently. The

illusio, however, facilitates the grounds on which to base reflective critiques. Recognizing these constructions allows the practitioners and policy makers to resist dominant moves toward an ever-changing definition of the field that embodies more humane and egalitarian treatment. The table 2 outlines the strengths and vulnerabilities in the profession. Internalized values and hierarchical structures provide stability and guidance; however, these will need to continue to change in response to technological pressures, patient expectations, and systemic pressures. It is within this area that the challenge to strike a balance between professional ethics and practical realities to ensure practitioner satisfaction and best practice patient care lies.

Table 2. Influences, Impacts, and Challenges in Healthcare Professionalism and Practice

Description	Impact	Challenges
Internalized values like altruism, expertise, and resilience shape self-perception and behavior.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Guides professional conduct - Prioritizes patient welfare - Shapes career trajectories - Influences resource allocation and decision-making 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Clashes with resource constraints and administrative pressures - Creates tension between internalized values and external demands
Hierarchical structure with senior practitioners and specialists enjoying greater symbolic capital.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Bolsters symbolic capital - Creates reciprocal relationships, including mentorship and guidance 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Limits collaboration - Not immutable, constantly renegotiated - Potential for exclusion of junior professionals
Patients' trust in medical authority reinforces the profession's influence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Strengthens professional authority - Enhances compliance and cooperation from patients 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Patients with online knowledge challenge professional authority - Difficulty in maintaining trust amid misinformation and skepticism
The professional culture of healthcare emphasizes specialized knowledge and competence.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Elevates practitioners' social status and career progression - Increases demand for continuing education 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Potential for specialization to create silos, reducing interdisciplinary collaboration - Overemphasis on specialization may limit holistic care
Professional autonomy in medical decision-making is highly valued.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Empowers practitioners to make decisions based on expertise and patient needs - Increases job satisfaction for some professionals 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Conflicts with organizational constraints - Risk of burnout due to increasing responsibilities and accountability
The ethical obligation to «do no harm» (non-maleficence) guides medical practices.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ensures patient safety - Enhances trust in healthcare systems 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Ethical dilemmas arising from resource allocation - Pressure to meet clinical outcomes can sometimes conflict with ethical principles
Socialization within healthcare institutions creates norms and expectations for behavior.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Shapes professional identity - Establishes codes of conduct and shared values 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Can perpetuate hierarchical power dynamics - Pressure to conform may inhibit innovation and individual expression
Healthcare's shift towards patient-centered care influences practitioner behavior.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Fosters compassionate and empathetic interactions with patients - Encourages shared decision-making 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Time constraints and administrative burdens can hinder patient-centered care - Not all professionals may be trained or inclined towards this approach
Growing emphasis on evidence-based medicine shapes clinical decision-making.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Improves patient outcomes by utilizing the best available data - Reduces variation in care practices 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Challenges in staying updated with rapid advancements in medical research - Difficulty in applying evidence-based practices in diverse clinical settings
Increasing reliance on digital tools (EHRs, telemedicine) changes the practitioner-patient interaction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Enhances access to care and documentation efficiency - Improves long-term patient management through digital records 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Reduces face-to-face interaction, potentially weakening patient-practitioner relationships - Requires ongoing training and adaptation to new technologies

Hence, the concept of the field and *illusio* by Bourdieu is a powerful lens through which one can understand the social logic of the medical profession. It brings into view the interplay between individual agency and structural constraints, revealing the complex ways in which power, capital, and legitimacy are negotiated within the field. The table 3 provides an insightful overview of various healthcare technologies, outlining their descriptions and their impacts. Critical engagement with these insights

could therefore enable challenges and opportunities that better characterize contemporary medicine and nurture the path toward an increasingly inclusive, human-centered approach to care, as shown in Table 3.

Table 3. Impact of Emerging Technologies in Healthcare

Healthcare Technology	Description	Impact
Electronic Health Records (EHRs)	Streamline data management but shift focus from patients to screens.	Increase efficiency * Challenge relational aspects of care
Telemedicine	Enables remote consultations but may limit physical examinations.	Improves access * Raises concerns about diagnostic accuracy
AI Diagnostics	Supports clinical decisions with advanced analytics but depends on quality data.	Enhances precision * Introduces data privacy risks
Wearable Health Devices	Monitor patient health continuously but may lead to information overload.	Empowers patients * Increases clinician workload
Healthcare Chatbots	Provide initial patient support but lack empathy.	Reduces waiting times * Risks misinterpretation of symptoms
Robot-Assisted Surgeries	Allow for precise operations but come with high costs and maintenance demands.	Improves surgical outcomes * Increases inequality in healthcare access
Big Data in Healthcare	Analyzes vast datasets to predict trends but faces challenges in data integration.	Identifies patterns * Requires robust infrastructure and data governance
Virtual Reality for Therapy	Offers immersive environments for rehabilitation but requires significant training.	Enhances patient engagement * Demands expensive equipment
Mobile Health Apps	Provide health management tools but can lack regulation and validation.	Improves self-care * May spread misinformation
3D Printing of Medical Devices	Produces customized implants and prosthetics but raises concerns about quality control.	Enhances personalization * Requires skilled technicians

METHODOLOGY

The study has employed thematic analysis in an attempt to make sense of the issues healthcare professionals are faced with, in terms of challenges and complexities, focusing on how organizational culture may affect patient care. Thematic analysis is a fairly flexible qualitative approach which identifies patterns within data; this makes it appropriate for teasing out nuances within the experience of participants within the healthcare context. (11) have pointed out that thematic analysis is a more systematic procedure for inductively interpreting data and, therefore, capturing the richness and depth of participants' accounts. It also allows the researcher to trace the emergence of themes that may subsequently be used to gain insight into the lived experiences of healthcare professionals and the organizational dynamics they operate within.

Data collection in this study included semi-structured interviews with 40 health professionals from various cadres in Tunisia, including doctors, nurses, allied health professionals, and administrative staff. This is a purposive sampling strategy that ensures the capture of wide variation in perspectives within the public health system, which is important in eliciting a wide array of experiences and opinions related to organizational culture and patient care (12). Semi-structured interviews have been employed since they offer the flexibility of exploration in the interview process where participants can provide an elaborate description of their experiences as they address some key research questions. The interviews are audio-recorded and subsequently transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy with fidelity to the participants' words, as considered best practice by (13).

Following data collection, the transcripts were analyzed thematically in several iterative stages. First, the researcher immersed themselves in the data by re-reading the transcripts multiple times to identify initial codes. These codes were developed both inductively-

that is, directly from the data-and deductively-based on prior theory related to organizational culture in healthcare. These codes were then grouped into broader themes, which were developed and refined through an ongoing review process to ensure they best reflected the data. This process of review and finalization helps ensure that the analysis remains grounded in the data while also being theoretically informed (14). In addition to that, another researcher independently reviewed the themes and resolved discrepancies to enhance the study's validity and reliability. This study, following such methodological rigour, hoped to delve deep into substantial, contextually grounded insights on how organizational culture intersects with patient care.

RESULTS

This section presents the findings of the thematic analysis of semi-structured interviews with 40 healthcare professionals. Several key themes were identified through the analysis that highlighted the challenges and complexities faced by healthcare professionals, the impact of organizational culture on patient care. Each of these will be described in detail, supported by illustrative quotes from the interviews, providing rich insights into the lived experiences and perspectives of the participants.

Codes and Subcategories

Codes were obtained which provided the snapshot of themes that repeatedly cropped up in medical practice: "Standardized care (SC)," "Loss of connection (LC)," and "Hierarchy pressure (HP)." Then come higher-order headings that develop to reveal broader dynamics of "Evidence-based practices (EBP)," "Reduced empathy (RE)," and "Junior doctors' constraints (JD)." These combined elements of understanding serve to bring formal rationality (FR) and social logic (SL) into life at health facilities.

Many codes under this theme, like "Technological reliance (TR)" and "Protocol rigidity (PR)," relate to how the system is oriented toward efficiency and standardization. These approaches in trying to enhance outcomes have the unintended effect of lessening humanism in care.

Social Logic: "Illusio acceptance (IA)," "Senior dominance (SD)" are some of the codes which indicate the prevalent power play and unsaid rules governing the medical field. These have deep connections with the theory of Bourdieu, wherein agents unconsciously uphold and compete within the rules of the "medical field."

Categories and Themes

Categories such as "Formal Rationality," "Impact on Patient Experience," and "Cultural Competence (CC)" group related phenomena into broader domains, offering a thematic lens through which to analyze systemic challenges in medicine.

Codes here expose the tension between standardized systems and individualized care. For instance, "Standardized care (SC)" is necessitated by equity but results in a "Loss of connection (LC)" with patients.

Impact on Patient Experience (IPE): This category fills in the gap between systemic efficiency and human interaction by showing how depersonalization can erode trust and communication.

Cultural Competence (CC): Codes like "Cultural mismatch (CM)" and "Language barriers (LB)" highlight the significance of understanding patients' sociocultural contexts to improve care quality.

Verbatim Quotations

Quotes provide a qualitative feel to the table, thus tapping into personal experiences and sentiments of the doctors themselves. These lived experiences will highlight the practical problems embedded in the formal rationality blended with the social and cultural dynamics.

Emotional Insights: Quotes such as, "You want to care, but day after day, it takes a piece of you," quite appropriately outline the psychic cost of compassion fatigue—a result of physician burnout (13).

Practical Dilemmas: Utterances such as "The protocol didn't fit the special needs of the patient, but we were obligated to follow it" underlines the inflexibility entailed in formal rationality that might run against patient-oriented treatment.

Cultural Challenges: Phrases like "He refused the treatment, saying it went against his beliefs" outline the need for cultural humility and understanding in doctor-patient interactions.

The table 4 reflects the overall summary of themes and subcategories developed from qualitative data contributed by healthcare professionals. It has categorized such themes related to aspects like formal rationality, patient experience, challenges to decision-making, cultural competence, and professional identity. Quotations from participants were included to support each of these themes with their experiences and perceptions.

The first column, "Code," reflects the main thematic code representing the key issue. The second column, "Subcategory," further defines the thematic focus by grouping related concepts, providing a greater detail of understanding about the identified challenges. For instance, "Standardized care" is linked to the subcategory "Evidence-based practices," reflecting tension between following rigid protocols and maintaining a personalized approach to patient care. These subcategories are then grouped further under more general themes on the "Category" column, such as "Formal Rationality", "Impact on Patient Experience", and "Cultural Competence", where the overarching dimensions about healthcare professionals' work environment set in. The verbatim quotation column gives utterances directly from participants in response to questions raised and has provided rich qualitative material characterizing the personal-emotional dimensions of these experiences. Examples might include the quote "We do what needs doing to ensure every patient has the same quality of treatment, but sometimes this becomes mechanical." It outlines having difficulties in being empathetic and caring at an individual level against a backdrop of standardized practices. Direct personal accounts are crucial for finding out how these themes become enacted in real life; also for grounding findings within real world perspectives in health professionals. Table 4 is a microcosm that shows the interrelationship between formal rationality, social logic, and cultural competence within the medical setting. The analysis of these dimensions points to the following critical areas of reform: flexibility in protocols, cultural sensitivity, and the balance of power. These insights combined have the potential to help the healthcare system work toward an equitable and compassionate model of care.

DISCUSSION

The interplay of formal rationality and social logic (SL) holds a deep impact on the implications of patient care: while standardized practices driven by formal rationality ensure quality and equity at a systemic level, it might accidentally depersonalize the experience of healthcare. This in turn could further make them alienated when they perceive that they are being treated as numbers rather than being considered an individual. Similarly, the illusio or the socially shared belief in the value of the medical profession and its importance maintains its prestige and attracts highly qualified people. At the same time, this very illusio might support various inequalities, such as access to care depending on one's status, geographic location, and other social determinants of health.

Formal rationality does not exclude empathetic, patient-centered care. Indeed, efforts to include personalized approaches reflect a growing understanding of this critical balance. For instance, personalized medicine, with its orientation in the integration of genomic data into clinical practice, is indicative of a move toward individualized care—effective balancing of rationality against empathy (15).

Table 4. Codes and verbatim quotations related to Healthcare Professional Challenges

Code	Subcategory	Category	Verbatim Quotation
Standardized care (SC)	Evidence-based practices (EBP)	Formal Rationality (FR)	We follow protocols to ensure every patient receives the same high standard of care, but it can feel robotic.
Loss of connection (LC)	Reduced empathy (RE)	Impact on Patient Experience (IPE)	Sometimes, I feel like the screen is my patient, not the person in front of me.
Hierarchy pressure (HP)	Junior doctors' constraints (JDC)	Social Logic (SL)	I rarely question the seniors even if I disagree; the hierarchy is deeply ingrained in our field.
Cultural mismatch (CM)	Misunderstanding patients' needs (MPN)	Cultural Competence (CC)	He refused the treatment, saying it went against his beliefs. I realized I hadn't asked about his values.
Resource scarcity (RS)	Ethical dilemmas (ED)	Decision-making Challenges (DMC)	Choosing which patients get priority in the ICU is the hardest part of my job.
Illusio acceptance (IA)	Norm internalization (NI)	Professional Identity (PI)	We sacrifice a lot because we believe in what we do—it's just part of being a doctor.
Time constraints (TC)	Overwhelming workloads (OW)	Physician Burnout (PB)	I barely have time to listen to my patients because I have to meet my consultation quotas.
Technological reliance (TR)	Dependency on tools (DT)	Formal Rationality (FR)	If the system goes down, everything halts—it's like we forget how to practice without it.
Patients as cases (PC)	Dehumanization (DH)	Impact on Patient Experience (IPE)	We are trained to solve problems, but sometimes we forget the human behind the diagnosis.
Senior dominance (SD)	Power imbalance (PI)	Hierarchical Structure (HS)	Consultants hold all the power, and juniors often have no voice in patient care decisions.
Language barriers (LB)	Miscommunication (MC)	Cultural Competence (CC)	The family didn't understand the medical jargon, and I struggled to explain it in simpler terms.
Ethical stress (ES)	Conflicts in care priorities (CCP)	Decision-making Challenges (DMC)	Deciding whether to allocate limited ventilators was a decision I'll never forget.
Medical prestige (MP)	Status within society (SS)	Social Logic (SL)	The white coat still commands respect, but it also carries enormous responsibility.
Protocol rigidity (PR)	Lack of flexibility (LF)	Formal Rationality (FR)	The protocol didn't fit the unique needs of the patient, but we were bound to follow it.
Emotional toll (ET)	Compassion fatigue (CF)	Physician Burnout (PB)	You want to care, but day after day, it takes a piece of you.
Patient distrust (PD)	Erosion of authority (EA)	Social Logic (SL)	Some patients come in already questioning everything I say because they Googled their symptoms.
Cultural humility (CH)	Adapting to patient needs (APN)	Cultural Competence (CC)	Understanding her background helped me recommend a treatment she would accept.
Healthcare as business (HB)	Profit-driven motives (PDM)	Systemic Pressures (SP)	I feel torn between providing care and meeting the hospital's financial goals.
Peer surveillance (PS)	Constant evaluation (CE)	Professional Oversight (PO)	I always feel like I'm being watched, even by my colleagues.
Burnout recovery (BR)	Coping mechanisms (CM)	Physician Wellness (PW)	Meditation and therapy are the only things keeping me afloat in this high-pressure environment.
Knowledge hierarchy (KH)	Expertise disparity (ED)	Hierarchical Structure (HS)	The specialist dismissed my suggestion because I was just a resident.
Empathy training (ET)	Building patient relationships (BPR)	Cultural Competence (CC)	Workshops on empathy really opened my eyes to the importance of listening more effectively.
Patient advocacy (PA)	Supporting marginalized groups (SMG)	Social Responsibility (SR)	I try to speak up for patients who can't advocate for themselves, but it's not always welcomed.
Innovation dilemmas (ID)	Balancing old and new practices (BOP)	Technological Integration (TI)	While AI is helpful, I sometimes feel it undermines the personal touch of medicine.
Professional sacrifice (PS)	Commitment over personal life (CPL)	Professional Identity (PI)	Being a doctor means missing family dinners, but we accept it as part of the calling.

It is poignantly and strongly illustrated by the anecdote about Dr. A., the experienced oncologist who ordered genomic testing and thereby discovered a rare mutation for which, based on a new treatment insight, patient outcomes would radically improve. Advanced scientific knowledge is in this case literally formal rationality put into the application of betterment of each patient's unique individual life course, while concretely proving commitments to empathetic care. This can simultaneously be a more effective long-term strategy that will ultimately reduce unnecessary treatments and related expenditure (16).

Cultural competence should, therefore, be the linchpin in the interconnection between systemic efficiency and human connection. Recognizing and respecting

sociocultural contexts of clients is of paramount importance in communicating effectively to them, gaining their trust, and developing therapeutic alliance. The experience of Nurse B. in working with a family that had to deal with language barriers and cultural taboos really underscores how culturally sensitive care can have an effect. Nurse B. was able to dramatically enhance this family's compliance with the treatment plans by attending to these particular needs. This showed that integrating social sensitivity into clinical practice does not only enhance the patient experience but also clinical outcomes. Therefore, cultural competence is not a "soft skill" but an integral part of any effective and equal healthcare provision (17).

Besides, ED related to healthcare provision underlines

the complex interplay between FR and SL. The allocation of resources, in particular when in scarcity, and end-of-life decisions quite often face the tension between cost-effectiveness, as would be an essential factor to formal rationality, or FR, and compassionate care rooted through social logic, or SL. An especially compelling example is one related by Dr. C.: navigating the request of a terminally ill patient for access to an experimental treatment. This case was replete with ethical dilemmas about the futility of the treatment, cost to the patient and health system, and the institutional barrier of accessing experimental therapies. These accounts go a long way in portraying the human dimensions inherently operating within ethical decision-making in healthcare, insight into which cannot be adequately provided by pure rational reasoning alone as far as matters of life, death, and human suffering are concerned.

Stories about patients often serve to make real the distance between ideals that structure systemics and the realities of lived life. Given what's well seemingly efficient, Ms. D.'s experience with this fragmented and impersonal treatment signals yet another potential risk of depersonalization of high-order rationalized healthcare environments; again, holistic consideration of the patient's journey would be considered important, not just piecemeal approaches with this or that specialist. Thus, while a system may look efficient on paper, in reality, the impact it has on patients can be quite different if the human element is overlooked.

Hence, while formal rationality and social logique offer strong frameworks for delivering care, it is their balance that would preserve the core human values defining the medical profession. It is here that the incorporation of patient narratives, such as those of Ms. D., into training and policy might serve as a much-needed counterbalance. In this respect, by listening to and learning from patients' experiences, clinicians and policymakers alike are in a position to better understand how their decisions have an impact and work toward a more humane and effective healthcare system.

CONCLUSION

Medicine is an arena of tension between formal rationality and social logic. The concept of the *illusio* by Bourdieu gives a valuable framework for an understanding of this dynamic. Critical consideration of these tensions will go to develop an understanding of how the profession negotiates the challenges of autonomy, control, and patient-centered care. In the future, integrated practice will be developed, which will bring efficiency hand in hand with empathy.

The thematic analysis exposed key tensions and dynamics at the core of health service provisions that have important ramifications not only for patient care but also in affecting physician well-being (17). Results from these findings pose crucial theoretical and practical implications.

Standardization vs Individualization : There is an antagonism between the drive towards formal-rationality

standardization versus tailoring individualized treatment in relation to patients' circumstances. While standardized protocols and procedures are put in place to ensure equity and efficiency, sometimes these can make one feel detached. As direct quotations show, such as "Patients as cases," over-reliance on formal processes diminishes empathy and personalizes the patient experience (18). This objectification may lead to a perceived lack of compassion and understanding, thus hurting the patient-provider relationship. What is therefore required is the critical rethinking of the balance between standardized protocols that assure benefits with personalized care in a compassionate manner. That means exploring strategies that permit flexibility within guidelines and underscore the importance of human connectedness during the healing process.

Hierarchy and Power Dynamics: The deeply entrenched hierarchical structure of the medical field greatly influences communication and decision-making. Codes like "Hierarchy pressure (HP)" and "Senior dominance (SD)" reflect the power imbalances in the composition of healthcare teams. This power imbalance has a dampening effect on free-flowing communication, prevents junior doctors from raising concerns, and leads to inequity in decision-making processes (19). Therefore, what is urgently needed is to establish more egalitarian professional environments that would allow doctors to make decisions jointly and take into consideration the viewpoints of even junior doctors for better patient care. This will involve some conscious effort toward demolishing traditional hierarchies to replace them with a culture of respect and open communication amongst members of the healthcare team.

Competency in Culture as a Mechanism for Bridging: Cultural competence is one necessary mechanism in bridging the gaps between diverse patient populations and their healthcare providers. Examples of "Cultural mismatch" and "Language barriers" suggest that there is a great need to identify and address cultural diversity in health facilities. Such a mismatch may result in misunderstanding, misdiagnosis, and, eventually, healthcare disparities (20). The training in empathy, cultural humility, and effective cross-cultural communication can help build trust and rapport between practitioners and patients from diverse backgrounds. These would enable the health care providers to develop the requisite skills and knowledge to manage cultural complexities and deliver culturally sensitive care.

Physician Burnout and Emotional Well-being: This review indicates a high level of concern about physician burnout and emotional well-being. The high frequency of "Emotional toll (ET)" and "Compassion fatigue (CF)" depicts how immense the emotional demands on healthcare providers are. These findings emphasize the need for immediate, systemic reforms that make physician wellness a priority. Therefore, institutions should actively promote strong support systems, such as manageable workloads, access to mental health resources, and avenues for peer support. These measures would help sustain the emotional resilience of health workers and prevent burnout to continue providing quality patient

care. It is equally important to encourage a culture of self-care and open communication about mental health within the medical profession (21).

One of the limitations of this study is its generalizability due to the small sample size—a total of 40 health professionals. Thematic analysis provides an in-depth insight into the experiences and challenges healthcare workers face within the limited context of this research, and with relatively few respondents, the results cannot claim to be representative of all healthcare professionals. The sample was a purposive selection of the diverse roles within the health system; hence, not all voices or perspectives, particularly those of small or highly specialized groups, may be represented. The generalization of their application in other healthcare settings, countries, or populations should, therefore, be done cautiously, since findings might not capture all the complexities or variations existing in different healthcare systems or cultural contexts.

REFERENCES

1. Bourdieu P. *The Logic of Practice*. Cambridge: Polity; 1990.
2. Bourdieu P. *Distinction: A Social Critique of the Judgement of Taste*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; 1984.
3. Bourdieu P. *Pascalian Meditations*. Cambridge: Polity; 2000.
4. Yehualashet D, Seboka B, Mamo T, Yawo M. Evidence-Based Medicine - A New Approach for Medical Education and Practice. 2023. doi:10.5772/intechopen.107298
5. Topol EJ. *The creative destruction of medicine: How the digital revolution will create better health care*. New York: Basic Books; 2012.
6. Berwick DM. Continuous improvement as an ideal in health care. *N Engl J Med*. 1989;320(1):53-6.
7. Shanafelt TD, Boone S, Tan L, et al. Burnout and satisfaction with work-life balance among US physicians. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012;172(18):1377-85.
8. Freidson E. *Professionalism: The third logic*. University of Chicago Press; 2001.
9. Starr P. *The social transformation of American medicine*. Basic Books; 1982.
10. Parsons T. *The social system*. Free Press; 1951.
11. Braun V, Clarke V. Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*. 2006;3(2):77-101.
12. Patton MQ. *Qualitative research and evaluation methods*. 3rd ed. Sage Publications; 2002.
13. Guest G, MacQueen KM, Namey EE. *Applied thematic analysis*. Sage Publications; 2012.
14. Seidman I. *Interviewing as qualitative research: A guide for researchers in education and the social sciences*. 4th ed. Teachers College Press; 2013.
15. Grol R, Grimshaw J. From best evidence to best practice: effective implementation of change in patients' care. *Lancet*. 2003;362(9391):1225-30.
16. Betancourt JR, Green AR, Carrillo JE, Owusu Ananeh-Firempong I. Defining cultural competence: a practical framework for addressing racial/ethnic disparities in health and health care. *Public Health Rep*. 2003;118(4):293-302.
17. Silva S, Hettiarachchi N, Liyanage A. Physician well being, burnout and compassion fatigue. *Asian Journal of Internal Medicine*. 2024;3:5-9. doi:10.4038/ajim.v3i1.141.
18. Horevitz E, Lawson J, Chow J. Examining Cultural Competence in Health Care: Implications for Social Workers. *Health Soc Work*. 2013;38:135-45. doi:10.1093/hsw/hlt015.
19. Zuiderent-Jerak T, Berg M. The sociology of quality and safety in health care: studying a movement and moving sociology. *Handbook of Medical Sociology*, Sixth Edition. 2010:324-337.
20. Giusti M, Persiani N. The experience of Tunisian public healthcare system toward decentralization to the reduction of health inequalities in low-, middle-income countries. *Int J Equity Health*. 2024;23:10.1186/s12939-024-02355-5.
21. Emanuel E, Emanuel LL. Four Models of the Physician-Patient Relationship. *JAMA*. 1992;267:2221-6. doi: 10.1001/jama.267.16.2221.